

Dressing Chain Equations Associated to Difference Soliton Systems

S. Leble

Technical University of Gdańsk, ul. Narutowicza 11/12
80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

Kaliningrad State University, ul. A. Nevskogo, 14
236041 Kaliningrad, Russia.

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Links of factorization theory, supersymmetry and Darboux transformations as isospectral deformations of difference operators are considered in the context of soliton theory. The dressing chain equations for factorizing operators of a spectral problem are derived. The chain equations themselves yield nonlinear systems which closure generates solutions of the equations as well as of the nonlinear system if both operators of the correspondent Hirota bilinearization are covariant with respect to Darboux transformation which hence defines a symmetry of the nonlinear system as well as of these closed chains. Examples of Hirota and Nahm equations are specified.

1 Introduction.

The growing interest to discrete models appeal to necessity to widen classes of symmetry structures of the correspondent nonlinear problems [1]. Such theories as conformal field result in set of equations to be investigated [2]. Next kind of problems contain solvable lattice models [3], [4].

Very recently a good basis for new searches in this field of differential-difference and difference-difference equations was discovered [5] in the context of classical Darboux Transformations (DT) theory development. Likely the differential operator case it would have links to Hirota bilinearization method [6] and to factorization theory [7] with similar applications possibilities.

One of very promising approach to the solutions construction is based on the dressing chain notion [10], [11], [12], [13]. The approach cover soliton, rational, finite-gap and other new solutions inside universal scheme, reducing the problem to a solution of closed sets of nonlinear ordinary equations with bi-Hamiltonian structure [13].

In this paper we reformulate Darboux covariance theorem from [5] introducing a kind of the difference Bell polynomials. Such polynomials have natural correspondence to the differential (generalized) Bell polynomials in its nonabelian version [7], [8]. Its usage shortens the transformation formulas and helps to apply the theory in complicated cases of joint covariance of U-V pairs [9], [6], [16] on a way of the dressing chain equations derivation.

The example of Hirota equation [14], connected with known applications, is studied in [5]. Here we derive the dressing chain equations and study simplest solutions of it. In the last section we propose the lattice Lax pair for Nahm equations

[15], see also [18], [1] on physical aspects. The Lax pair is covariant with respect to a combined Darboux-gauge transformations that generates the dressing structure for the equations.

2 Darboux transformations in associative ring with automorphism.

In this section we reformulate, and analyze the results from [5] in a context of its further use in the chain equations derivation and joint covariance of operators pairs [9] investigation. We would begin with general notations. Let $\mathbf{R}$ be an associative ring with an automorphism, implying that there exist a linear infertile map $T, \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that for any $\psi(x, t), \varphi(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}, x \in R^n, t \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$T(\psi\varphi) = T(\psi)T(\varphi), T(1) = 1. \quad (1)$$

The automorphism with the defining property (1) allows to write down a wide class of functional-differential-difference and difference-difference equations [5] starting from

$$\psi_t(x, t) = \sum_{m=-M}^N U_m T^m \psi. \quad (2)$$

For example, the operators T could be chosen as,

$$T\psi(x, t) = \psi(qx + \delta, t), \quad (3)$$

where $q \in GL(n, C), \delta \in R^n$.

$$T\psi(x) = W\psi(x)W^{-1}, W \in GL(n, C)$$

We would save the notations and conditions of the mentioned paper [5] discussing other potentials definitions in the end of the section 4.

Let us consider the definitions of two Darboux transformations for solutions of (2),

$$D^\pm f = f - \sigma^\pm T^{\pm 1} f, \sigma^\pm = \varphi(T^{\pm 1} \varphi)^{-1}. \quad (4)$$

where φ is a particular solution of the same equation (2) For the case of a differential ring and for $Tf(x, t) = f(x + \delta, t); x, \delta \in R$ the limit $\partial f = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta}(T - 1)f(x, t)$ give the link to the classical DT.

To derive the DT of potentials U_m it is necessary to evaluate the derivative of the elements $\sigma^\pm$ with respect to the variable t (say - time). We shall do it by means of the special functions (differential Bell polynomials analogue) introduction similar to [7]. Let us start from the first version of the DT definition D^+ , expressing the $T\varphi$ from (4), hence $T\varphi = (\sigma^+)^{-1} \varphi$. Acting to the result by T , taking into account (1) yields $T^2\varphi = T((\sigma^+)^{-1})T\varphi = T((\sigma^+)^{-1})(\sigma^+)^{-1} \varphi$. Repeating the action, one arrives at

$$T^m \varphi = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (T^k(\sigma^+))^{-1} \varphi = B_m^+(\sigma^+) \varphi. \quad (5)$$

Here and below the product is ordered by the index (k) from right to left.

Definition The equation (5) defines the function

$$B_m^+(\sigma) = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} T^k(\sigma)^{-1}$$

It is convenient to write down the t-derivative of the σ by means of the functions $B_m^+(\sigma^+)$ that are connected generalized with Bell polynomials [7].

$$\sigma_t^+ = \sum_{m=-M}^N [U_m B_m^+(\sigma^+) \sigma^+ - \sigma^+ T(U_m) B_{m+1}^+(\sigma^+) \sigma^+] \quad (6)$$

The resulting equation (6) is some nonlinear equation associated with the (2) that is reduced to a generalized Miura transformation in the stationary case (see sec.3).

The Matveev theorem [5] gives powerful generalizations of the conventional Darboux theorem, proved originally for the second order differential equation (for generalizations look [17] as well) and can be formulated by means of the introduced entries in the following way.

Theorem 1 *Let the functions $\varphi \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\psi \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfy the equation (2), then the function $\psi^+ = D^+ \psi$ satisfies the equation $\psi_t^+(x, t) = \sum_{m=-M}^N U_m^+ T^m \psi^+$, where the coefficients are evaluated from the following recurrent relations*

$$U_{-M}^+ = U_{-M} \quad (7)$$

$$U_1^+ - U_0^+ \sigma^+ = U_1 - \sigma^+ T U_0 - \sigma_t^+ \quad (8)$$

$$U_m^+ - U_{m-1}^+ T^{m-1} \sigma^+ = U_m - \sigma^+ T U_{m-1} \quad (9)$$

$$U_N^+ = \sigma^+ (T U_N) (T^N \sigma^+)^{-1} \quad (10)$$

The expressions (7) – (10) define the Darboux transformations of the coefficients of the differential equation (2) (potentials) as the recurrence. The straight corollary of this statement solving the recurrence (9) by means of (5) yields

$$U_m^+ = \sum_{l=0}^{m+M} U_{-M+l} - \sigma^+ (T U_{-M+l-1}) B_{-M+l}^+(\sigma^+) (B_m^+(\sigma^+))^{-1} \quad (11)$$

$$U_N^+ = \sigma^+ (T U_N) (T^N \sigma^+)^{-1} \quad (12)$$

The theorem establishes the covariance (form-invariance) of the equation (2) with respect to the Darboux transformation.

Proof. For the proof it is necessary to check the additional equality that appears by the term $T^m \psi$ with essential use of the expression for σ_t^+ from (6). ■

The formalism for the second DT from (4) may be constructed similarly on the base of the identity

$$T^m \varphi = \prod_{k=0}^m T^k(\sigma^-) T^{-1} \varphi = B_m^-(\sigma^-) T^{-1} \varphi. \quad (13)$$

The definition of the second type lattice Bell polynomials $B_m^-(\sigma^-)$ may be extracted from (13). The evolution equation for σ^- is similar to (6)

$$\sigma_t^- = \sum_{m=-M}^N [U_m B_m^-(\sigma^-) - \sigma^- T^{-1}(U_m) B_{m-1}^-(\sigma^-)] \quad (14)$$

and gives the second generalized Miura map for a stationary solutions of the (2). Explicit formulas for U_m^- are similar to (8), (9), (10), (11), (12).

3 Stationary equations as eigenvalue problems and chains

The stationary equation that corresponds to (2) appears when the solutions of the following constraint equations $\psi_t(x, t) = \lambda\psi$, $\psi_t(x, t) = \psi\lambda$, are considered, or, in the first case,

$$\sum_{m=-M}^N U_m T^m \psi = \lambda\psi. \quad (15)$$

The derivative

$$\sigma_t = \varphi_t(T\varphi)^{-1} - \varphi(T\varphi)^{-1}(T\varphi)_t(T\varphi)^{-1} = \mu\sigma - \sigma\mu. \quad (16)$$

It is zero if the σ and μ commute. Recall that $\varphi \in \{\psi_{\lambda=\mu}\}$.

One arrives at the algebraic connection between potentials and σ that is the corollary of either (6) or (14) in this case of (16). When the reduction is such as all the coefficients in (2) are functions of some unique potential u , the connection, allows in principle to express the potential u as the function of σ . This connection has the same form as for the potential u as for a "dressed" one. If one takes connections for both ones with the correspondent sigmas and plug the result into the Darboux transformation formulas, the chain equations yield.

Let us illustrate the algorithm by an

Example of generalized ZS problem.

Let us take the equation (2) in the minimal variant

$$\psi_t(x, t) = (U_0 + U_1 T) \psi. \quad (17)$$

The covariance of this equation with respect to the DT of first kind means the invariance of U_0 . We rewrite the transform of U_1 by means of (10)

$$U_1^+ = \sigma^+ (TU_1) (T\sigma^+)^{-1} \quad (18)$$

For the spectral problem

$$(U_0 + U_1 T) \varphi = \mu \varphi \quad (19)$$

the connection of the unique potential U_1 and σ^+ is obtained from (6) and (16) or, directly from the equation (19),

$$U_1 = (\mu - U_0) \sigma^+ \quad (20)$$

Introducing the number of iteration n for U_1 , and $n+1$ for U_1^+ yields

$$U_1[n+1] = (\mu - U_0)\sigma^+[n+1] = \sigma^+[n]T((\mu - U_0)\sigma^+[n])(T\sigma^+[n])^{-1}. \quad (21)$$

It could be the chain equation for the generalized ZS problem. We rewrite the chain equation (21) in more compact form changing the notations as follows $U_0 \rightarrow J$, $U_1 \rightarrow U$, $\sigma^+[n] \rightarrow \sigma_n$ and supposing that $T(J) = J$. We arrive at

$$\sigma_{n+1} = (\mu - J)^{-1}\sigma_n(\mu - J) \quad (22)$$

This dressing however is obviously almost trivial. Such phenomenon is well-known in the differential operator case [17]. The alternative and effective transformations appear if in the stationary equation (19) one introduces the element μ that does not commute with σ and changes the order in the r.h.s. between the elements μ and φ . (It is the second case mentioned at the very beginning of this section.)

$$(J + UT)\varphi = \varphi\mu. \quad (23)$$

The formula for the potential is changed

$$U = \varphi\mu(T\varphi)^{-1} - J\sigma \quad (24)$$

and the role of σ_+ can play the function $s = \varphi\mu(T\varphi)^{-1}$. The equation (6) connects U and σ

$$J\sigma + U - \sigma J\sigma + \sigma T(U)(T\sigma)^{-1} = [\mu, \sigma]. \quad (25)$$

The algorithm of the explicit derivation of the chain equations begins from the equation ((25)) solving with respect to U in appropriate way. For matrix rings, it may be a system of equations for matrix elements, that could be effective in low matrix dimensions of ((25)), as in [12]. Otherwise it opens the special problem. Let us rewrite (25) and the DT 21 in terms of s , excluding U from 24, denoting the number of iterations by index

$$U[n] = s_n - J\sigma_n.$$

The equation (25) transforms as

$$s - \sigma J\sigma + \sigma T(s)(T\sigma)^{-1} + \sigma J = [\mu, \sigma]. \quad (26)$$

The use of this result give for the DT

$$s_{n+1} - s_n = J\sigma_{n+1} + \sigma_n J\sigma_n - [\mu, \sigma_n]. \quad (27)$$

Then, taking the result (27) for two indices (e.g. it could be $n, n+1$) one have the chain system. In the section 5 we will give the explicit example for the bilinear Hirota equation.

Let us mention that the chain equations for the classical ZS problem and two types of DT transformation were introduced in [12]. The closure of the chain equations specify classes of solutions. The chain equations for a standard Sturm-Liouville problem were derived in connection with quantum mechanical problems in early Schrödinger paper (look the review [10]). In connection with the celebrated scalar KdV important possibility is studied in [11]. A periodic closure of the chains produces integrable bihamiltonian finite-dimensional systems and, in some special cases, the finite-gap potentials [13].

4 Joint covariance of equations and nonlinear problems

Let us consider a pair equations of the same type (2) for a function ψ

$$\psi_t(x, t) = \sum_{m=-M}^N U_m T^m \psi, \quad (28)$$

$$\psi_y(x, t) = \sum_{m=-M'}^{N'} V_m T^m \psi. \quad (29)$$

The compatibility condition for them is the nonlinear equation

$$U_{sy} - V_{st} = \sum_k V_k T^k (U_{s-k}) - U_{s-k} T^{s-k} (V_k) \quad (30)$$

for $s = -M - M', \dots, N + N'$, $k \in \{k' = -M', \dots, N'\} \cap \{s - k = -M, \dots, N\}$.

In the simplest case of ZS operators in both (28), (29) with the subclass of stationary in y solutions one have three conditions

$$U_{0t} = V_0 U_0 - U_0 V_0, \quad (31)$$

$$U_{1t} = V_0 U_1 - U_0 V_1 + V_1 T(U_0) - U_1 T(V_0) \quad (32)$$

$$V_1 T(U_1) = U_1 T(V_1) \quad (33)$$

The connection with polynomials of a differential operator and hence with classic Bell polynomials theory may be found if one change the definition of potentials. It is clear that if the automorphism T is the shift operator $Tf(x) = f(x + \delta)$, the coefficients of the polynomials in T should be arranged as follows.

$$\psi_t(x, t) = \sum_{m=-M}^N u_m \frac{1}{\delta^m} \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{m-r} (-1)^{m-r} T^r \psi. \quad (34)$$

The Newton binomial formula have been used. The recursion equation that define classic differential Bell polynomials [8]

$$B_{m+1} = \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} B_{m-r} y_{r+1}, \quad (35)$$

together with the definition (5) of B_m^+ connects the expression for these special functions. Let us remark that the transformations for U_m found in the sec. 2 give the transforms for u_m defined by (34). The possibility of inverse transition depends on the independence of functions $(T - 1)^n f$ for a given T and the set of functions ψ under consideration. The joint covariance of the system (28), (29) hence may be investigated along the guidelines of [6], [16], where so-called Binary Bell polynomials are used to form a convenient basis.

5 Nonabelian Hirota system

5.1 Derivation of the chain equation

Let us consider a pair of Zakharov-Shabat type equations,

$$\psi_t(x, y, t) = (V_0 + V_1 T) \psi, \quad (36)$$

$$\psi_y(x, y, t) = (U_0 + U_{-1} T^{-1}) \psi. \quad (37)$$

It differs from one used in previous section by the change $T \rightarrow T^{-1}$ in the r.h.s.

In a t-lattice version of the equation (2) with $j \in Z$, one goes to

$$f(x, j+1) = \sum_{m=-M}^N U_m T^m f. \quad (38)$$

The lattice in all variables case is generated by the transition to the discrete variables $x, y, t \rightarrow n, j, r \in Z$; $f(x, y, t) \rightarrow f_n(j, r)$, defined as in [5]. The operator T acts as the shift of n : $T f_n(j, r) = f_{n+1}(j, r)$. The corresponding equations of the system (36), (37) looks as

$$f_n(j-1, r) = f_{n+1}(j, r) + v(n, j, r) f_n(j, r) \quad (39)$$

$$f_n(j, r-1) = f_n(j, r) + u(n, j, r) f_{n-1}(j, r) \quad (40)$$

with a specified potentials. The compatibility condition of the linear equations (39), (40) has the form

$$\begin{aligned} u(n, j-1, r) - u(n+1, j, r) &= v(n, j, r-1) - v(n, j, r), \\ v(n, j, r-1) u(n, j, r) &= u(n, j-1, r) v(n-1, j, r). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

The second equation of (41) is automatically valid if

$$\begin{aligned} u(n, j, r) &= \tau_{n+1}(j, r-1) \tau_n^{-1}(j, r-1) \tau_{n-1}(j, r) \tau_n^{-1}(j, r) \\ v(n, j, r) &= \tau_{n+1}(j-1, r) \tau_n^{-1}(j-1, r) \tau_n(j, r) \tau_{n+1}^{-1}(j, r). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

We stress that the form of these expressions is valid for the order of entries that had been shown in (41), (42): the form differs (generalize) from [5]. The substitution of the (42) to the first equation from (41) leads to the generalized Hirota bilinear equation [14], compare also with generalizations in [3]

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_{n+1}(j-1, r-1) \tau_n^{-1}(j-1, r-1) \tau_{n-1}(j-1, r) \tau_n^{-1}(j-1, r) - \\ &\tau_{n+1}(j-1, r-1) \tau_n^{-1}(j-1, r-1) \tau_{n-1}(j, r-1) \tau_n^{-1}(j, r-1) - \\ &\tau_{n+2}(j, r-1) \tau_{n+1}^{-1}(j, r-1) \tau_n(j, r) \tau_{n+1}^{-1}(j, r) + \\ &\tau_{n+1}(j-1, r) \tau_n^{-1}(j-1, r) \tau_n(j, r) \tau_{n+1}^{-1}(j, r) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

In the scalar case the system reduces to the Hirota bilinear equation [5]

$$\tau_n(j+1, r) \tau_n(j, r+1) - \tau_n(j, r) \tau_n(j+1, r+1) + \tau_{n+1}(j+1, r) \tau_{n-1}(j, r+1) = 0. \quad (44)$$

Using substitutions (42) and all DT formalism we might give in a nonabelian form that is important in connection with the applications in the theory of a quantum transfer matrices for fusion rules [4] and the theory of quantum correlation functions [19].

Let us return back to the DT theory. The equations (36), (37) are jointly covariant. Hence the theory of solution of the systems (41) or (43) is based on the symmetry of the systems that is generated by the joint covariance of the equations (39), (40) with respect to the one of transformations (4), namely to the

$$\psi^-(j, r) = \psi - \sigma^- T^{-1} f, \quad \sigma^- = \varphi (T^{-1} \varphi)^{-1}. \quad (45)$$

The form of the both linear equations (39), (40) is obvious reductions of the equations (36), (37) with $V_1 = 1, V_0 = v; U_0 = 1, U_{-1} = u$. We show further some details in the proof of the covariance theorem because it shows the important features in the procedure of the chain equation derivation. Let us start, say, from (40). The conditions of the covariance are obtained as the coefficients by $\psi, T^{-1}\psi, T^{-2}\psi$. The first one is valid automatically,

$$u^- = u - \sigma^-(r-1) + \sigma^-(r) \quad (46)$$

$$u^- T^{-1} \sigma^-(r) = \sigma^-(r-1) u \quad (47)$$

Excluding the transformed potential u^- one arrives to the equation that links the potential and the function $\sigma^-(r)$

$$u T^{-1} \sigma^-(r) - \sigma^-(r-1) u = (\sigma^-(r-1) - \sigma^-(r)) T^{-1} \sigma^-(r). \quad (48)$$

Note that the expressions in the equalities (55), (47), (48) are still general (non-abelian) and may be used in simplest (but definitely rich) closures (e.g. $\sigma_{n+1}^- = \sigma_n^-$). The generic is outlined in the end of the sec. 3. For some Lie algebraic approach to the nonabelian chains look also in [16].

In the scalar (abelian) case one can easily solve the equation (48) with respect to the potential

$$u = \frac{(\sigma^-(r-1) - \sigma^-(r)) T^{-1} \sigma^-(r)}{T^{-1} \sigma^-(r) - \sigma^-(r-1)}. \quad (49)$$

Supplying the entries of the equation (49) by the index N (iteration number) and substituting into the equations (55), (47) we obtain two equivalent forms of the chain equations. For example,

$$u_{N+1} = u_N - \sigma_N^-(r-1) + \sigma_N^-(r)$$

yields

$$\frac{(\sigma_{N+1}^-(r-1) - \sigma_{N+1}^-(r)) T^{-1} \sigma_{N+1}^-(r)}{T^{-1} \sigma_{N+1}^-(r) - \sigma_{N+1}^-(r-1)} = \frac{(\sigma_N^-(r-1) - \sigma_N^-(r)) \sigma_N^-(r-1)}{T^{-1} \sigma_N^-(r) - \sigma_N^-(r-1)} \quad (50)$$

The chain equation (50) generates the chain equation for the specific case of the system (41) by the choice $x \rightarrow n, T f_n(j, r) = f_{n-1}(j, r)$. A solution of the resulting chain equation generates the solution of the system (44) by use of the connection formula (49) and the corresponding formula for v . The transition to the $\tau_n(j, r)$ - functions is made by (42).

5.2 On solution of the chain equation

Let us denote

$$s_N = \frac{(\sigma_N^-(r-1) - \sigma_N^-(r))}{T^{-1} \sigma_N^-(r) - \sigma_N^-(r-1)},$$

then the dressing chain equation (50) reads

$$s_{N+1} = s_N \frac{\sigma_N^-(r-1)}{T^{-1} \sigma_{N+1}^-(r)}. \quad (51)$$

Iterating this recurrence yields

$$s_{N+q} = s_N \frac{\prod_{s=0}^{q-1} \sigma_{N+s}^-(r-1)}{\prod_{s=1}^q T^{-1} \sigma_{N+s}^-(r)}. \quad (52)$$

In analogy with continuous case let us consider the periodic closure of the chain (50), starting from the simplest case $q=0$, that means $\sigma_{N+1} = \sigma_N = \sigma$. As $s_{N+1} = s_N$,

$$T \sigma^-(r-1) = \sigma^-(r).$$

It means $\sigma^-(r+p) = T^p \sigma^-(r)$. If a boundary condition in the point $r=0$ is given, then

$$\sigma^-(p) = T^p \sigma^-(0). \quad (53)$$

The equation for $\varphi(p, x)$ then looks

$$T \varphi(p, x) = T(\sigma(p, x)) \varphi(p, x).$$

The solution depends on the choice of T ; e.g. if $T \varphi(p, x) = \varphi(p, x + \delta)$, then

$$\varphi(p, x) = \exp(Ax)$$

with A from

$$A\delta = \ln [T^{p+1} \sigma^-(0)]$$

6 Nahm equation

Considering the following example we change a bit the DT formulas, showing the alternative version, similar to [20]. We would stress, however, that the formulas from the first section give the equivalent result. Some generalization we need within the reduction constraints - we need additional (gauge) transformation denoted by g . This is expressed by the following

Theorem 2 *The equation*

$$\psi_y = uT\psi + v\psi + wT^{-1}\psi \quad (54)$$

is covariant with respect to DT

$$\psi[1] = g(T - \sigma)\psi^{-1}, \quad (55)$$

Where $\sigma = (T\phi)/\phi$, ϕ is a solution of the same equation (54) and g is an invertible element of the ring to be defined later. The transforms of the equation coefficients are

$$u[1] = gT(u)[T(g)]^{-1}, \quad (56)$$

$$v[1] = gT(v)g^{-1} - g\sigma u g^{-1} + gT(u)T(\sigma)g^{-1} + g_y g^{-1}, \quad (57)$$

$$w[1] = g\sigma w[T^{-1}(g\sigma)]^{-1}. \quad (58)$$

Proof. The substitution of (55) into the transformed equation (54) give four equations assuming $T^n\psi$ are independent. Three of them yield transformed potentials 58. The fourth equation after use of the transforms takes the form

$$\sigma_y = \sigma F - (TF)\sigma \quad (59)$$

where

$$F = u\sigma + v + w[T^{-1}(\sigma)]^{-1}.$$

one can check the condition by direct substitution of the σ definition and use of the equation for ϕ . ■

Remark The theorem 2 is naturally valid for the spectral problem

$$\lambda\psi = uT\psi + v\psi + wT^{-1}\psi \quad (60)$$

with the only correction: the last term for the transform $v[1]$ is absent. The equation goes to the "Riccati equation" analog for the function σ

$$\mu = u\sigma + v + w[T^{-1}(\sigma)]^{-1}, \quad (61)$$

Note that the plugging of the element $\sigma = (T\phi)/\phi$ into the equation (61) transforms it to the spectral problem for ϕ (60) (with the spectral parameter μ).

The Nahm equations could be written in the Lax representation using the spectral equation (60) and the evolution equation

$$\psi_y = (q + pT)\psi, \quad (62)$$

The covariance of this equation with respect to the DT ((55)) may be established similar to the theorem 1 with account of the evolution for the function $\sigma(y)$

$$\sigma_y = T(q)\sigma - \sigma p\sigma + T(p)T(\sigma)\sigma - \sigma q = 0, \quad (63)$$

that proves the following transformation formulas for the coefficients in ((62)).

$$p[1] = gT(p)[T(g)]^{-1}, \quad (64)$$

$$q[1] = g[T(q) - \sigma p + T(p)T(\sigma)]g^{-1} + g_y g^{-1}, \quad (65)$$

The joint covariance principle [9] define the connection of potentials p, q with u, v

$$p = u + \beta I, \quad q = v/2. \quad (66)$$

Hence the DT-covariance means integrability of the compatibility condition of (62),(54)

$$\begin{aligned} u_y &= \frac{1}{2}(uT(v) - vu) + \beta(T(v) - v), \\ v_y &= uT(w) - wT^{-1}u + \beta(T(w) - w), \\ w_y &= \frac{1}{2}vw - wT - 1(v). \end{aligned}$$

One more possible specification is the use of periodic potentials in the problem 62) with the evolution (54 with account of the connections (66) that results in commutators in r,h,s of the equations. Some linear transformations and rescaling

$$u = \alpha(-\imath\varphi_1/2 - \varphi_3), \quad (67)$$

$$v = \varphi_3, \quad (68)$$

$$w = \alpha^{-1}(-\imath\varphi_1/2 + \varphi_3), \quad (69)$$

produce Nahm equations (for the periodic functions $T\varphi_i = \varphi_i$, that by the way does not mean a periodicity of solutions of the Lax pair ψ, ϕ and the corresponding $\sigma = (T\phi)/\phi$)

$$\varphi_{iy} = \imath\epsilon_{ikl}[\varphi_k, \varphi_l]. \quad (70)$$

The numbers α, β are free parameters. This system is covariant with respect to the combined DT-gauge transformations if the gauge transformation $g = \exp G$ is chosen as follows.

$$G_y = \alpha[(\varphi_3 + \varphi_1/2)T(\sigma) - \sigma(\varphi_3 + \varphi_1/2)]/2, \quad (71)$$

Finally, the following theorem may be formulated.

Theorem The system (70) is invariant with respect to the transformations

$$\varphi_1[1] = g[(\varphi_1/2 - \imath\varphi_3)T(g)^{-1} + \sigma(\varphi_1/2 + \imath\varphi_3)[T^{-1}(g\sigma)]^{-1}], \quad (72)$$

$$\varphi_2[1] = g[\varphi_2 + \alpha(\imath\sigma\varphi_1/2 - \imath\varphi_1T(\sigma)/2 + \sigma\varphi_3 - T(\varphi_3\sigma))]g^{-1}, \quad (73)$$

$$\varphi_3[1] = g[(-\imath\varphi_1/2 - \varphi_3)T(g)^{-1} + \sigma(-\imath\varphi_1/2 + \varphi_3)[T^{-1}(g\sigma)]^{-1}] \quad (74)$$

with the function $g = \exp G$, where G is obtained integrating (71).

Remark Similar statement may be formulated for the discrete version (see [21]) of the Nahm system (70) as it may be seen from the previous section.

Conclusion The productivity of the method is obviously dependent on the possibilities to solve chain equations. The direct applications of DT formulas could be effective as it is well-known [17]. The approaches to the direct solution of the chain equations is formulated in [13], [16] and refs therein.

Acknowledgement This is an acknowledgement to V. Matveev, M. Salle, M. Czachor and N. Ustinov for discussions, to organizers of the SIDE4 conference in Tokyo for the outstanding hospitality and support, to A. Nakamura for the valuable information about Nahm model. The work was supported by the KBN Grant No. 2 P03B 163 15.

References

- [1] D. Gross, N.Nekrasov, Solitons in noncommutative gauge theory. Triest lectures, hep-th/0010090.
- [2] A. Belavin, A. Fring, On the fermion quasi-particle interpretation in minimal models of conformal field theory. hep-th/9612049.
- [3] Miwa T, Proc. Japan. Acad., ser. A **58** (1982) 9-12, 339-352.
- [4] Kuniba A. Nakanishi T. Suzuki, Int. Journ. of Mod. Phys. **A9**, (1994) 5215-5312.
- [5] Matveev V B 1998 Darboux Transformations in Associative rings and Functional-Difference Equations ed J Harnad and A Kasman "The Bispectral Problem" AMS series CRM PROCEEDINGS AND LECTURE NOTES v.14, p.211-226.
- [6] Lambert F., S.B.Leble, J.Springael Binary Bell Polynomials and Darboux Covariant Lax pairs, submitted to ISLA (1999) conference on Darboux Transformations proceedings.
- [7] Zaitsev A, Leble S, 1999, math-ph/9903005; ROMP (2000), **46**, 165-174.
- [8] R Schimming, S Z Rida *Int. J. of Algebra and Computation* 6:635–644 1996.
- [9] Leble S, 1991 Darboux Transforms Algebras in 2+1 dimensions in *Proc. of 7th Workshop on Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Dynamical Systems ed. M Boiti et al, World scientific, Singapore* p.53-61. *Computers Math. Applic.*, **35** pp. 73-81, (1998).
- [10] T.Infeld, T. Hull, Rev. Mod. Phys., 1951, **23**. p.21.
- [11] Weiss J., J. Math. Phys, (1986), **27**, p.2647.
- [12] Shabat A. Dressing chains and lattices. *In: Nonlinearity, Integrability and all that, ed.M.Boiti et al, World scientific, Singapore* (2000) 331-342.
- [13] Veselov A, Shabat A, , Funk. analiz i pril. (1993) **27**, p.1.
- [14] Hirota R Journ. Phys. Soc. Japan (1981) **50**, 3875-3791.
- [15] W. Nahm, Phys. Lett., **90B**, 413, 1980.
- [16] Leble S. Covariance of Lax pairs and integrability of compatibility condition, nlin.SI/0101028, Theor. Math. Phys., v. 128, pp 890-905,2001.
- [17] V.B. Matveev , M. A. Salle : *Darboux transformations and solitons*,Springer, Berlin 1991.
- [18] A. Hashimoto, H.Hata, S. Morijama, hep-th/9910196. JHEP 9912 (1999) 021.
- [19] Au-Yang H, Perk J.H.H. Wu T.T. Nucl. phys. **B180** (1981), 89-115.
- [20] M. Salle, Darboux autotransformations: special functions and q-commutations. Preprint CSMAE, St.-Petersburg, 1993.
- [21] M.K. Murray, M.A. Singer Comm. Math. Phys. v. 210, 497-519, (2000)